

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—My concern was to point out loopholes as I see in the printed books of the Government as I hear in some parts of the State—I am not a punter—as I get information from the Government. The Government nominees as it were do not send any report to the Government. I am entitled to ask why? Therefore the motive has been to improve the position of finances of the State and also to ensure fair ways of securing revenue. I do not go into the technicalities of these things. I know only the accounts portion of it.

Sir, we are at the close of the day. I thank you.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS.

Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) (Amendment) Bill, 1956.

Motion to consider.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—Sir, the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) Amendment Bill, was introduced in the Assembly on 13th March 1956. I now wish to move that the said Bill be taken into consideration.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA (Sagar-Hosanagar).—I object to this motion, Sir.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

***Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR** (T. Narasipur).—In the Objects and Reasons of this Bill, we are told that since the House was not in session Government had to pass an ordinance and to replace the ordinance this Bill is now introduced. Sir, in the Statement of Objects and Reasons it is also stated that the previous Act would

expire by the end of December. We were here in November. Why was not the Bill introduced then? The Government know pretty well that it would expire at the end of December and the House was in session in November and yet the Bill was not introduced. We should never allow Government to pass ordinances and then place them before the House whatever may be the merits of the case. Government should have been more alert. With that object I oppose the Bill.

***Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA** (Minister for Agriculture).—Sir, when we met in November we had long discussions on the S.R.C. Report and hence it was not possible for introducing this Bill. It was also expected that the House would again meet in December. Since we did not meet in December and since the Bill was to expire by the end of December, it necessitated passing of an ordinance. The Bill is now introduced to repeal the ordinance.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—Perhaps, Government wanted to have a session in December also. But, because the House did not meet in December, Government had to pass an ordinance. Though late it is introduced now and it may be approved.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Well, Sir, it is a very good measure. Without that measure, the tenants would not be safe in the Inam villages. I for one would support it.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) Amendment Bill, 1956 be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Clauses: Clauses 2 and 3.

The question is:

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble.

The question is :

“That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) Amendment Bill, 1956 be passed.”

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants and Miscellaneous Provision) (Amendment) Bill, 1956 be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The House will now rise and meet tomorrow at 12 o'clock.

The House adjourned at Five Minutes past Five of the Clock to meet at Twelve of the Clock on Friday, 16th March 1956.
